



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/587,088

07/21/2006

Shu Kobayashi

1680/54

9535

25297 7590 11/16/2010
JENKINS, WILSON, TAYLOR & HUNT, P. A.
3100 Tower Blvd.
Suite 1200
DURHAM, NC 27707

EXAMINER

MABRY, JOHN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1625

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/16/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/587,088	Applicant(s) KOBAYASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner JOHN MABRY	Art Unit 1625	

All Participants:

(1) JOHN MABRY.

(2) Amy Odenbaugh.

Date of Interview: 8 November 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 12:12 pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
potential anticipation rejections based of Applicants' US filing dated

Claims discussed:
3

Prior art documents discussed:
Bolm et al Chem. Ber. 1992, 125, 1169-1190 and search report - see Supplemental Content in e-DAN

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

In order to expedite the prosecution of this case, Examiner had telephonic conversation with Attorney Arles Taylor on 10/22/10 and 10/26/10 and communicated that rejections of claim 1 were overcome and are in condition for allowance. Examiner asked did Applicant want rejoinder of claim 3; however, there was anticipatory art that could be used against claim 3. Attorney Taylor notified Examiner that Applicant wanted to rejoin claim 3 and submitted amended set of claims dated 10/26/10.

After an additional search of the rejoined and amended claims dated 10/26/10, Examiner called and left message with Attorney Arles Taylor on 11/4/10 to discuss that Applicant should perfect the priority filing date regarding the foreign application filed on 6/10/08. On 11/8/10, Agent Amy Odenbaugh (attny/agent of record) contacted Examiner. Ms. Odenbaugh notified Examiner that she consulted with her client and that a certified English translation will be submitted the following week in order to overcome anticipatory art found in additional search.